

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,528	04/22/2004	Alan Thomas Schachtely	136240	7324
John S. Beulick	7590 04/24/200	EXAMINER		
Armstrong Teas	sdale LLP	GAMI, TEJAL		
Suite 2600 One Metropolitan Square St. Louis, MO 63102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2121	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/829,528	SCHACHTELY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	TEJAL J. GAMI	2121			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Ma	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-56 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-56 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 22 April 2004 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the or	n from consideration. r election requirement. r. ⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to	-			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 04/22/2004; 08/02/2004; 02/01/2007.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	nte			



Application No.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,528 Page 2

Art Unit: 2121

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This office action is responsive to a request for EXAMINATION entered April 22, 2004 and RESTRICTION/ELECTION entered March 17, 2009 for the patent application 10/829528.

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Claims 1-12 in the reply filed on March 17,
 acknowledged.

Claims 13-56 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

# Status of Claims

3. As a response to an election/restriction requirement, Claims 13-56 were withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,528 Page 3

Art Unit: 2121

Claims 1-12 now remain under consideration in this Office action. Applicant is reminded that the non-elected claims 13-56 must be canceled from this application if the office finds that the claims 1-12 under consideration are allowable and the application in condition for allowance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 10 recites the limitation "the selected" in Line 3 of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ozaki (U.S. Publication Number: 2002/0032495).

As to independent claim 1, Ozaki discloses a method of managing a machinery monitoring system (e.g., production management system) including a database of at least one rule set (e.g., rule set for each apparatus or a group of pieces of apparatus) (see Abstract), the rule set including at least one rule expressed as a relational

expression of a real-time data output relative to a real-time data input (e.g., dispatch rule thus feeding back the change to control of real physical distribution) (see Abstract), the relational expression being specific to a plant asset (e.g., rule set for each apparatus) (see Abstract), said method comprising:

importing data representative of a rule set into the machinery monitoring system (e.g., dispatch rule), the data including rule set full operand relative path information (e.g., equipment path for each device or process) (see Paragraph [0033] and [0124]);

applying the at least one rule set to a specific plant asset (e.g., rule set for each apparatus or a group of pieces of apparatus) that is monitored by a plant monitoring and control system (e.g., production management system) (see Abstract) wherein the at least one rule set is configured to locate the data input using at least a portion of the full operand relative path information (e.g., apparatus path defined for each device or process) (see Paragraph [0033] and [0124]);

determining a data output of the at least one rule set using the at least one relational expression and the data input (e.g., dispatch rule thus feeding back the change to control of real physical distribution) (see Abstract); and

transmitting the data output to at <u>least one of</u> the machinery monitoring system and the plant monitoring and control system (e.g., production controller dynamically changes a dispatch rule set for each apparatus or a group of pieces of apparatus having a same function, thus feeds back the change to control of real physical distribution) (see Paragraph [0020]).

Application/Control Number: 10/829,528

Art Unit: 2121

As to dependent claim 2, Ozaki teaches a method in accordance with claim 1 further comprising executing at least one of an event type (e.g., scheduling of events according to a desired physical distribution rule) (see Paragraph [0012]) and an actionable information text set (e.g., an example in which data pertaining to a lot are formatted as a line of text data and the lot data are transported between containers) (see Figure 2 and Paragraph [0045]).

Page 5

As to dependent claim 3, Ozaki teaches a method in accordance with claim 1 wherein importing data representative of a rule set comprises receiving the rule set as an Extended Mark-up Language file (e.g., XML) (see prior art claim 11).

As to dependent claim 4, Ozaki teaches a method in accordance with claim 1 wherein importing data representative of a rule set comprises receiving the rule set via at <u>least one of</u> an e-mail and a CD-ROM (e.g., production controller connected online to the production line by way of the LAN) (see Paragraph [0033]).

As to dependent claim 5, Ozaki teaches a method in accordance with claim 1 wherein importing data representative of a rule set comprises importing the rule set into a rule set library (e.g., database) (see Paragraph [0150]).

As to dependent claim 6, Ozaki teaches a method in accordance with claim 1 wherein the plant monitoring and control system is one of a plurality of plant monitoring and control systems operated by a business enterprise (e.g., production management system) (see Abstract), said importing data representative of a rule set comprises (e.g., dispatch rule) (see Abstract):

Page 6

creating a base rule set based on a specific plant asset type (e.g., rule set for each apparatus or a group of pieces of apparatus) (see Abstract);

editing the base rule set to create a plant asset specific rule set (e.g., production controller dynamically changes a dispatch rule set for each apparatus or a group of pieces of apparatus having a same function, thus feeds back the change to control of real physical distribution) (see Paragraph [0020]); and

transmitting the rule set to the machinery monitoring system (e.g., dispatch rule) (see Abstract).

As to dependent claim 7, Ozaki teaches a method in accordance with claim 6 wherein importing data representative of a rule set further comprises testing the plant asset specific rule set using at <u>least one of plant asset design data</u>, plant asset maintenance history, plant asset off-line analysis, and empirical testing (e.g., test) (see Paragraphs [0018] and [0149]).

As to dependent claim 8, Ozaki teaches a method in accordance with claim 6 wherein editing the base rule set to create a plant asset specific rule set comprises (e.g., production controller dynamically changes a dispatch rule set for each apparatus or a group of pieces of apparatus having a same function, thus feeds back the change to control of real physical distribution) (see Paragraph [0020]):

selecting a condition to be detected, the condition being detectable using parameters monitored by the plant monitoring and control system (e.g., boundary-value condition for determination of a bottlenecking apparatus) (see Paragraph [0088]);

creating a relative path to the parameters (e.g., worldwide web www) (see Paragraph [0135]);

selecting operands to process the parameters (e.g., converting the condition) (see Paragraph [0135]); and

creating relative path to the output data (e.g., computation result into HTML and the worldwide web www server function) (see Paragraph [0135]).

As to dependent claim 9, Ozaki teaches a method in accordance with claim 1 wherein applying the rule set to a specific plant asset comprises resolving the operands for at least one rule in the rule set (e.g., equipment path for each device or process) (see Paragraph [0033] and [0124]).

As to dependent claim 10, Ozaki teaches a method in accordance with claim 7 further comprising:

creating a conditionally executable at <u>least one of</u> an event type and an actionable information text set using the selected operands (e.g., scheduling of events) (see Paragraph [0012]); and

appending the created at <u>least one of</u> an event type and an actionable information text set to the rule set (e.g., scheduling of events according to a desired physical distribution rule) (see Paragraph [0012]).

As to dependent claim 11, Ozaki teaches a method in accordance with claim 1 wherein the plant monitoring and control system is one of a plurality of plant monitoring and control systems operated by a business enterprise (e.g., production management

system) (see Abstract), said importing the rule set into the server system comprises (e.g., dispatch rule) (see Abstract):

selecting a rule set from at least one rule set applied to at least one of the plurality of plant monitoring and control systems (e.g., rule set for each apparatus or a group of pieces of apparatus) (see Abstract); and

transmitting the selected rule set from the at least one of the plurality of business enterprise plant monitoring and control systems to the plant monitoring and control system (e.g., dispatch rule) (see Abstract).

As to dependent claim 12, Ozaki teaches a method in accordance with claim 11 further comprising editing a rule set by the business enterprise user after the rule set is applied on the plant monitoring and control system (e.g., production controller dynamically changes a dispatch rule set for each apparatus or a group of pieces of apparatus having a same function, thus feeds back the change to control of real physical distribution) (see Paragraph [0020]).

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Belanger (U.S. Patent Number: 6,647,745) teaches method for controlling the operation of a cryogenic rectification plant.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tejal J. Gami whose telephone number is (571) 270-1035. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert DeCady can be reached on (571) 272-3819. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Albert DeCady/ Supervisory Patent Examiner Tech Center 2100 Application/Control Number: 10/829,528 Page 10

Art Unit: 2121